

A new class of nodal stationary states in 2D Heisenberg ferromagnet.

I.G. Bostrem and A.S. Ovchinnikov

*Department of Theoretical Physics, Ural State University,
620083, Lenin Ave. 51, Ekaterinburg, Russia*

(Dated: February 2, 2008)

A new class of nodal topological excitations in a two-dimensional Heisenberg model is studied. The solutions correspond to a nodal singular point of the gradient field of the azimuthal angle. An analytical solution found for the isotropic case. An effect of in-plane exchange anisotropy is studied numerically. It results in solutions which are analogues of the conventional out-of-plane solitons in the two-dimensional magnets.

The known topological solutions in two-dimensional (2D) Heisenberg model belong to classes of the homotopic groups isomorphic to the group of integers such as $\pi_2(S^2)$: Belavin-Polyakov (BP) solitons¹ and easy-axis solitons²; to the relative homotopic group $\pi_2(S^2, S^1)$: an out-of-plane (OP)³ and Takeno-Homma (TH)⁴ solitons; and to the group $\pi_1(S^1)$: Kosterlitz-Thouless (KT) vortices. They correspond to a map of a spin order parameter space onto a sphere S^2 homeomorphic to the 2D plane or a circle S^1 ⁵.

In this paper we use another way of a search of non-trivial topological excitations. By starting from the non-linear equations for (θ, φ) -fields describing the dynamics of a classical 2D isotropic Heisenberg magnet of a spin S with an exchange J ⁶

$$0 = -\hbar S \sin \theta \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + JS^2 \left(\Delta \theta - \cos \theta \sin \theta \left(\vec{\nabla} \phi \right)^2 \right), \quad (1)$$

$$0 = \hbar S \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + JS^2 \left(2 \cos \theta \left(\vec{\nabla} \phi \vec{\nabla} \theta \right) + \sin \theta \Delta \phi \right) \quad (2)$$

one may see that in the stationary case the field variable θ is determined by only the gradient field $\vec{\psi} = \vec{\nabla} \phi$. The Euclidean 2D-plane is homeomorphic to the sphere S^2 with one punctured point. According to the Hopf theorem⁷ Eulerian characteristic of triangulated surface equals to the sum of indices of singular points of the vector field on the surface. An Eulerian characteristic of the sphere is equal 2. In the simplest case one may suggest that one singular point with the Poincare index +1 is placed in the south pole and the other one at infinity with the same index corresponds to the punctured point in the north pole. The space configuration of the θ -field will depend on the kind of singular point of $\vec{\psi}$: a center, a node or a focus. The center singularity (Fig. 1a) corresponds to the solution of Eq.(2) $\Delta \phi = 0$ and the particular solution $\phi = q \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{y}{x} \right)$ results in the well-known solitons with an axial symmetry listed above. One may expect that the focus singularity (Fig. 1b) will correspond to a spiral spin arrangement⁸.

The investigation of the paper is devoted to the nodal point of the vector field $\vec{\psi}$ (Fig. 1c), where $\vec{\psi}$ has a maximal (minimal) value. This means the choice of the following parametrization $\phi = \phi(r)$, i.e. the azimuthal angle changes along the radial direction in the plane. From the equation (2) one obtain

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dr} = \frac{q}{r \sin^2 \theta}, \quad (3)$$

this determines the radial dependence of the φ -field

$$\varphi(r) = \varphi_0 + q \int_a^r \frac{dr'}{r' \sin^2 \theta}, \quad (4)$$

where the notation a is used for the lattice unit; φ_0 is an initial value. The equation for the θ -angle

$$\Delta\theta - \frac{\cos\theta}{\sin^3\theta} \frac{q^2}{r^2} = 0 \quad (5)$$

may be integrated exactly and results in the scale invariant solution

$$\theta(r) = \cos^{-1} \left[\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{q}{Q}\right)^2} \sin \left(p \log \left[\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{|Q|} \right] \right) \right], \quad p = \pm 1. \quad (6)$$

This presents annuluses divergent logarithmically from the center (Fig. 2) and looks like a "target" with annular domains of magnetization. The parameter $Q^2 \geq q^2$ governs the amplitude of the oscillations, R is a scale factor, the sign p is a polarity of the solution. The continuum description is valid just for distances greater than the lattice unit a , the R value determines the boundary value $\theta_0 = \theta|_{r=a}$. As is seen from Eq. (6) the θ can not take the values 0 and π . The plane chirality is determined by the sign of parameter q ; the corresponding in-plane spin texture is presented in Fig. 3.

This solution is a counterpart of the BP soliton because it has no definite localization radius and it is scale-invariant. In contrary to the BP soliton the energy of the found solution

$$E = \frac{JS^2}{2} \int_a^L \left[(\vec{\nabla}\theta)^2 + \frac{q^2}{r^2 \sin^2\theta} \right] d\vec{r} = \pi JS^2 Q^2 \log \left(\frac{L}{a} \right) \quad (7)$$

has no finite value and reveals the KT logarithmic behavior with an increase of the system size L .

An availability of the pair of stationary solutions resembles in somewhat an existence of fundamental system of linear second-order differential equation. In the study of topological excitations in the classical XY model we deal the last situation: in Ref.⁹ just one of the harmonic function $\phi = q \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{y}{x} \right)$ has been considered as physically reasonable; another solution $\bar{\phi} = -q \log(r)$ is exploited to obtain an effective interaction between vortices.

As it follows from Eq.(6) the choice $|Q| = q$ realizes a pure in-plane arrangement $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and insures a minimal energy E in the class of solutions. In the logarithmic scale $x = \log \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)$ the parameter Q determines the wave length $\delta = \frac{2\pi}{|Q|}$, i.e. a distance between the nearest "crests" of the "target". The change of the angle φ on the scale δ is $\Delta\varphi = q\delta$ that leads to the important relation for the small amplitude of the magnetization oscillations ($q \approx Q$)

$$\frac{\Delta\varphi}{2\pi} \approx \frac{q}{|Q|}, \quad (8)$$

i.e. the ratio $q/|Q|$ responds to the relative change of the azimuthal angle on the scale δ .

The criterion of topological stability of the BP soliton is rather simple²: there is an integer-valued topological invariant Q (degree of a map $S^2 \rightarrow S^2$) associated with the BP solution $\theta(\vec{r})$, $\phi(\vec{r})$ via

$$Q = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \sin \theta(\vec{r}) d\theta(\vec{r}) d\phi(\vec{r}).$$

For the soliton with an axial symmetry $\phi = \phi(\alpha)$ (α is the angular polar variable) the topological invariant

$$Q = \frac{\nu}{2} [\cos \theta(0) - \cos \theta(\infty)] = \pm \nu,$$

where ν is a winding number. This describes a change of the ϕ angle at moving around the center $r = 0$

$$\delta\phi = 2\pi\nu$$

and equals to degree of a map $S^1 \rightarrow S^1$. A non-zero density of an angular momenta¹⁰

$$L_z = \nu \hbar S (1 - \cos \theta)$$

and a zero value of the radial part of the momentum density $P_r = 0$ is a common point of the solitons with the center singularity: BP and easy-axis solitons, the OP and TH solitons, and also KT vortices. Thus, the winding number is associated uniquely with the angular momenta density of the magnetization field.

For the solutions with a nodal singularity a situation is opposite: $L_z = 0$ and P_r has a non-zero value¹⁰

$$P_r = \hbar S (1 - \cos \theta) \frac{d\phi}{dr} = \hbar \frac{qS}{r} \frac{1}{1 + \cos \theta}.$$

For a pure in-plane spin arrangement the q value determines a scaling factor

$$\log \lambda = \frac{2\pi}{q},$$

thus, that a change of the azimuthal angle on the scale λ

$$\phi(\lambda r) - \phi(r) = 2\pi.$$

As is seen the q value is associated identically with the momentum density of the magnetization field.

A special kind of nodal time-dependent solutions of two-dimensional Heisenberg model has been considered in Ref.¹¹ by means of the inverse scattering transform. Keeping time-dependent terms in the Eqs.(1, 2) one may obtain solutions with a finite energy and finite

localization radius. The radial behavior of the angle variables differs from the situation considered above, for example, the θ reveals an exponential dependence at large distances in fixed time. The solitons are ring-shaped waves. Their localization radius and thickness grows with time linearly, whereas an amplitude is inversely proportional to the time.

It is well known that an exchange anisotropy along z -axis $J_z > J_\perp$ changes the asymptotic behavior at large distances from the power law decreasing to the exponential ones and results in the easy-axis solitons. An analogous effect occurs in the considered case but only for the plane exchange anisotropy $J_\perp > J_z$. The static equations for the case with an account of an external magnetic field along z -axis h may be written as

$$0 = J_\perp(4 \cos \theta \sin \theta + \cos^2 \theta \Delta \theta) - J_\perp \cos \theta \sin \theta ((\vec{\nabla} \theta)^2 + (\vec{\nabla} \phi)^2) \\ - 4J_z \cos \theta \sin \theta + J_z \cos \theta \sin \theta (\vec{\nabla} \theta)^2 + J_z \sin^2 \theta \Delta \theta - \frac{h}{S} \sin \theta, \quad (9)$$

$$0 = \sin \theta \Delta \phi + 2 \cos \theta (\vec{\nabla} \theta \vec{\nabla} \phi). \quad (10)$$

The equation (10) is the same as in the isotropic case and results in the relation (4). An analysis of asymptotic behavior at infinity yields the boundary value

$$\cos \theta_0 = \frac{h}{4S(J_\perp - J_z)} \quad (11)$$

from which one obtain $\theta_0 = \frac{\pi}{2}$ at $h = 0$ and there is no static solutions at $h \neq 0$ and $J_\perp = J_z$. However, in the last case there is a dynamical solution $\varphi = \varphi(r) + \omega t$ with the Larmor resonance frequency.

For a zero magnetic field h an asymptotic behavior at $r \rightarrow \infty$

$$\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} + \delta \theta$$

may be obtained from the equation

$$r^2 \frac{d^2 \delta \theta}{dr^2} + r \frac{d \delta \theta}{dr} - \left(4 \frac{(J_\perp - J_z)}{J_z} r^2 - \frac{J_\perp}{J_z} q^2 \right) \delta \theta = 0. \quad (12)$$

The solution is the McDonald's function $\delta \theta = K_v \left(\frac{r}{\lambda} \right)$, $v = iq \sqrt{\frac{J_\perp}{J_z}}$ with the localization radius

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{J_z}{J_\perp - J_z}}. \quad (13)$$

A series expansion of the solution (6) near the point $r = a$

$$\theta(r) \approx \theta(a) + \theta'(a) r. \quad (14)$$

A numerical calculation of the Eqs. (9, 10) is made by the shooting method and an example of the nodal OP soliton is presented in Fig. 4a. The phase portrait of possible behavior of the solutions presented in Fig. 5. An arbitrary choice of the derivative $\theta'(a)$ results in energetically unfavorable oscillating solutions above or below $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ (left and right limit cycles) and only an unique choice of the derivative gives the soliton. Like in the case of the conventional OP with an axial symmetry an energy has a logarithmic divergence with a growing of the soliton size.

Finally, we point out that an inclusion of an external magnetic field h changes the asymptotic behavior of the soliton at infinity from the exponential into the power decay

$$\delta\theta \approx -\frac{1}{4} \frac{J_{\perp}}{J_{\perp} - J_z} \frac{\cos \theta_0}{\sin^5 \theta_0} \frac{q^2}{r^2}, \quad (15)$$

where θ_0 is given by (11). This is a counterpart of conventional TH solitons (Fig. 4b).

In conclusion, the class of the nodal stationary states in the 2D Heisenberg model is investigated. The scale-invariant solution, a counterpart of BP soliton, is found. An account of in-plane exchange anisotropy yields the analogues of out-of-plane and Takeno-Homma solitons, however, in contrary to the conventional solutions, those which are considered in the paper have no axial symmetry.

This work was partly supported by the grant NREC-005 of US CRDF (Civilian Research & Development Foundation), INTAS grant (Project N 01-0654), by the grant "Russian Universities" (UR.01.01.005).

-
- ¹ A.A. Belavin, A.M. Polyakov, JETP Lett. **22** (1975) 245.
 - ² A.M. Kosevich, B.A. Ivanov, A.S. Kovalev, Phys. Rep. **194** (1990) 119; A.S. Kovalev, A.M. Kosevich, K.V. Maslov, Pis'ma v JETP **30** (1979) 321.
 - ³ M.E. Gouvea, G.M. Wysin, A.R. Bishop, F.G. Mertens, Phys. Rev. B **39** (1989) 11840.
 - ⁴ S. Takeno, S. Homma, Progr. Theor. Physics, **65** (1981) 172.
 - ⁵ N.D. Mermin, Rev. Mod. Phys. **51** (1979) 591.
 - ⁶ R. Balakrishnan, A.R. Bishop, Phys. Rev. B **40** (1989) 9194.
 - ⁷ B.A. Dubrovin, A.T. Fomenko, S.P. Novikov, *Modern geometry - Methods and Applications: Part II, the Geometry and Topology of Manifolds* (Springer, 1985).
 - ⁸ A.B. Borisov, JETP Lett. **73** (2001) 242.

- ⁹ J.M. Kosterlitz, J. Phys. C: Solid St. Phys. **7** (1974) 1046.
- ¹⁰ R.F. Egorov, I.G. Bostrem, A.S. Ovchinnikov, Phys. Letters A **292** (2002) 325.
- ¹¹ A.V. Mikhailov, A.I. Yaremchuk, JETP Lett. **36** (1982) 95.

FIG. 1: The types of vector field singular points on the sphere: center (a), focus (b), node (c).

FIG. 2: The space magnetization distribution in the "target". The logarithmic scale is used. The inset shows a radial section of the plot.

FIG. 4: The $\theta(r)$ dependence in the nodal out-of-plane (a) and Takeno-Homma (b) soliton.

FIG. 5: The phase trajectories of possible solutions of Eq. (9).

FIG. 3: In-plane spin arrangement of a nodal singularity. The dotted circle displays spin directions on the equal distances from the center.